NYTÍMES, 7 Dec 66 10 CENTS

JOHNSON TO SEEK \$9-BILLION MORE FOR VIETNAM WAR

Funds Would Raise Defense Budget to \$67-Billion in Current Fiscal Year

TAX OUTLOOK UNCERTAIN

McNamara Confirms Soviet Is Planning More Missiles Than U.S. Expected

By MAX FRANKEL

Special to The New York Times AUSTIN, Tex., Dec. 6—President Johnson disclosed today that he would ask Congress to appropriate \$9-billion to \$10billion more to pay for the war in Vietnam in the current fiscal

Mr. Johnson declined to pro-ject from this figure an estimate of the total cost of the war in the fiscal year, ending next June 30. But the new funds would raise the total defense budget to from \$67-billion to \$68-billion.

This in turn would raise total Government expenses to about \$127-billion against an expected income of about \$117-billion. The President said he had not

yet decided whether to seek a tax increase to pay for the indi-cated deficit of \$10-billion and refused even to speculate about his policy decision.

Budget Review Is Held

Budget Review Is Held
The military budget for the
fiscal year 1967, as well as the
fiscal year 1968, which starts
next July 1, was reviewed by
Mr. Johnson here today with
Defense Secretary Robert S. McNamara, Deputy Defense Secretary Cyrus R. Vance, the
members of the Joint Chiefs of
Staff and Walt W. Rostow, special assistant to the President.

cial assistant to the President.

They were said to have made
"substantial" progress in defense planning, but a final figure for estimated costs in 1968 will not be possible until Mr. Johnson resolves debate about several specific weapons systems. The indications are that military spending in the fiscal year 1968 will exceed \$70-billion and will probably exceed \$75-billion.

son and win probably exceed \$75-billion.

Mr. Johnson said that he hoped to submit a complete budget for the fiscal year 1968 covering estimated costs through June 30 that year, thus avoiding the need for the kind of supplementary requests made this year and last.

Aliestic Defense Issue
With cytlence mounting that the Soviet Union is deploying an antimissic defense system.

Mr. Johnson must decide whether to embark on a comparable installation at an util-

parable installation at an ulti-mate cost of more than \$30-billions. There are signs that he prefers to await technological advances and further evidence of Soviet plans.

At the least, however, he is expected to order further costly work on new offensive weapons, such as the submarine-borne Poscidon missile, that could break through more sophisticated defenses.
Secretary McNamara, discuss-

ing the budget with newsmen in the President's office here, also confirmed reports that the

Continued on Page 2, Column 4

Johnson to Ask \$9-Billion More For War in Current Fiscal Year

Continued From Fage 1, Col. 8
Soviet Union was planning to endergone in the control of the contr

Thus Strength Sufficient
"Two. The United States has as many IoBMs today as the latest national intelligence estimate gives the Soviet Union several years hence.
"Three, Our strategic offensive forces have today and will continue to have in the future the capability of absorbing a deliberate first strike and renalizable that the strength to inflict unacceptable damage upon the aggressor or any combination of aggressors." Mr. McNamara gave no figures of either Soviet or United States missile strength, except to say that the American numerical advantage remained between 3 and 4 to 1.

Intelligence estimates made in 1965 of the number of Soviet luming-range missiles in mid-1967 are turning out to be remarkably accurate—to within five or hand a subject of soviet missile strength in 50 Soviet missile strength

MORI/CDF